

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,035	07/16/2007	Nobutaka Okabe	60626.00022	8784	
32294 SOLURE SAN	7590 03/24/200 NDERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT 14TH FLOOR TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182-2700			PLUCINSKI,	PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100110 001			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,035	OKABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI	3629	

	JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILUNG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely fixed period of the provision of 37 CPR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely fixed period of the provision of 37 CPR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely fixed period of the provision of 37 CPR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely fixed period of the provision of 18 CPR 1.33(a). In the provision of 18 CPR 1.33(a) and the provision of the prov						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This :	action is non-final.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan</li> </ol>	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li></ol>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
And the state of t						

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070716, 20060925.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
  Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haunschild (US 2004/0139053) in view of Chambers, Jr. et al. (US 2005/0027578).
- 4. With respect to Claims 3 and 4: Haunschild discloses the use of an apparatus and method for supporting the revision of a checklist for checking soundness of a company in accordance with the revision of laws and ordinances (see abstract) comprising:
  - A database of laws/ordinances and provisions (Claim 41);
  - A computer for supporting the checking of laws and ordinances and compiling updated checklist (claim 41);

Application/Control Number: 10/594,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

 A means for connecting a computer to external databases for retrieving updates laws and ordinances (See Paragraph 0051-0085 and 0087);

- When receiving an update the tasks are updates and signals are sent to the departments notifying them of the updates (See Paragraphs 0017 and 0018).
- 5. Haunschild discloses the use of a web-based system which will generate a revised checklist, however fails to disclose the checklist being saved in a database, and when an update is received, updating the checklist by either modifying the task, deleting a task or adding a task to the checklist in the database. Chambers discloses the use of a first database which stores a checklist (See abstract) and when there is an update to the checklist the stored checklists are updated by modifying, deleting or adding tasks (See Paragraphs 0002, 0024 and 0032). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to store the checklist in a database, and update the checklist when changes are necessary, as disclosed by Chambers and it would have been well within the ordinary skill in the art to update checklist to track changes and to have checklist automatically updated (See Chambers 2 and 3).

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Exall et al. (US 2008/0027746) discloses the use of employment law compliance, Bibko et al. (US 2004/0177326) discloses an Audit and Management system of compliance information, Visser et al. (US 2003/0152991) discloses the use of a Compliance management system, Starnes et al. (US 2002/0194014) discloses the use of a regulatory compliance resource

Art Unit: 3629

database management system, Smalley et al. (US 2002/0188611) discloses the use of managing regulated entities, Frank et al. (US 2002/0143595) discloses the use of compliance management, Barton et al. (US 2002/0059093) discloses the use of a compliance assessment program, Nelson et al. (US 2004/0243391) discloses the use of a multilingual regulation management system, and Sandifer (6,292,806) discloses the use of an equipment regulatory compliance system and Healthcare Financial Management (HFMA article) discloses the use of a healthcare resource regulation center.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,035 Page 5

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jamisue A. Plucinski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629